



Phase 1 Decommissioning Plan for the West Valley Demonstration Project

Revision 0



December 2008

Prepared by

Washington Safety Management Solutions, URS Washington Division

Science Applications International Corporation

The proposed decommissioning approach described in this plan is based on the preferred alternative in the Revised Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship at the West Valley Demonstration Project and Western New York Nuclear Service Center, which is referred to as the Decommissioning EIS. If changes to that document occur during the course of the National Environmental Policy Act process that affect this plan, such as changes to the preferred alternative, or if a different approach is selected in the Record of Decision, this plan will be revised as necessary to reflect the changes.

This page is intentionally blank.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

Record of Revisions

No.	Date	Purpose
0	December 2008	Initial issue for U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission review.

This page is intentionally blank.

CONTENTS

	NOTATION (Acronyms, Abbreviations, and Units)	xxi
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1	Purpose	1-1
1.2	Scope	1-2
1.3	Background	1-4
1.4	Environmental Impact Statements	1-7
1.4.1	Waste Management EIS	1-7
1.4.2	Decommissioning EIS	1-8
1.5	Decommissioning Criteria	1-8
1.6	Project Management and Organization	1-9
1.7	Health and Safety Program	1-11
1.8	Environmental Monitoring and Control	1-11
1.9	Radioactive Waste Management	1-13
1.10	Planned End States Before and After Phase 1	1-14
1.10.1	The Interim End State	1-14
1.10.2	Facilities and Areas Within Phase 1 Scope	1-18
1.11	Organizational Responsibilities	1-22
1.11.1	DOE	1-23
1.11.2	NRC	1-23
1.11.3	NYSERDA	1-24
1.12	Organization of This Plan	1-24
1.13	Control of Changes	1-24
1.14	References	1-25
2.0	FACILITY OPERATING HISTORY	2-1
2.1	License History	2-2
2.1.1	Nuclear Fuel Services Operations From 1966 to 1982	2-5
2.1.2	West Valley Demonstration Project From 1982 to 2008	2-15
2.2	Site Decommissioning Activities (1966 – 2011)	2-19

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

2.2.1 NFS Remediation Activities (1966 – 1981)	2-20
2.2.2 WVDP Remediation Activities (1982 – 2011).....	2-20
2.2.3 Planned Remediation Prior to Decommissioning	2-22
2.3 Spills and Uncontrolled Release of Radioactivity	2-33
2.3.1 North Plateau Groundwater Plume.	2-33
2.3.2 Old Sewage Plant Drainage	2-36
2.3.3 The Cesium Prong	2-37
2.3.4 Summary of Spills During NFS Operations	2-37
2.3.5 WVDP Spills	2-41
2.4 Prior Onsite Burials	2-42
2.4.1 Lagoon 1	2-43
2.4.2 The NRC-Licensed Disposal Area	2-43
2.4.3 Other Burial Locations	2-47
2.5 References	2-47
 3.0 FACILITY DESCRIPTION	 3-1
3.1 Site Location and Description	3-2
3.1.1 Site Location	3-2
3.1.2 Site Description	3-2
3.1.3 Facility Description	3-3
3.1.4 Surrounding Communities, Businesses, and Transportation System.....	3-25
3.2 Population Distribution	3-28
3.2.1 Local Population Data	3-28
3.2.2 Population Distribution	3-30
3.3 Current and Future Land Use	3-33
3.3.1 Current Land Use.	3-33
3.3.2 Summary of Anticipated Land Uses	3-36
3.4 Meteorology and Climatology	3-38
3.4.1 The General Climate of Western New York	3-38
3.4.2 Severe Weather Phenomena	3-39

CONTENTS

3.4.3 Weather-Related Radionuclide Transmission Factors.....	3-40
3.4.4 Weather-Related Site Deterioration Parameters.....	3-40
3.4.5 Site Meteorology and Climatology.....	3-41
3.5 Geology and Seismology.....	3-45
3.5.1 Regional Physiography	3-45
3.5.2 Site Stratigraphy	3-45
3.5.3 Site Geomorphology.....	3-49
3.5.4 Regional Structure and Tectonics	3-52
3.5.5 Historical Seismicity	3-58
3.5.6 Evaluation of Seismic Hazard	3-61
3.6 Surface Hydrology.....	3-62
3.6.1 Hydrologic Description	3-62
3.6.2 WVDP Effluents.....	3-65
3.6.3 Influence of Flooding on Site.....	3-65
3.6.4 Water Use.....	3-65
3.7 Groundwater Hydrology	3-67
3.7.1 Description of the Saturated Zone.....	3-67
3.7.2 Monitoring Wells	3-69
3.7.3 Physical Hydrogeologic Parameters in the Saturated Zone	3-70
3.7.4 Unsaturated Zone.....	3-70
3.7.5 Description of Unsaturated Zone Monitoring Stations.....	3-72
3.7.6 Physical Parameters	3-72
3.7.7 Numerical Analysis Techniques	3-72
3.7.8 Distribution Coefficients.....	3-73
3.7.9 Hydraulic Properties	3-78
3.8 Natural Resources.....	3-79
3.8.1 Natural Gas and Oil.....	3-79
3.8.2 Mineral Resources	3-80
3.8.3 Water Resources.....	3-80
3.8.4 Timber Resources	3-81

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

3.8.5 Renewable Energy Resources.....	3-82
3.9 References	3-82
4.0 RADIOLOGICAL STATUS OF FACILITY	4-1
4.1 Radiological Status of Facilities, Systems, and Equipment	4-2
4.1.1 Sources of Available Data	4-2
4.1.2 Impacted Facilities.....	4-5
4.1.3 Non-Impacted Facilities	4-12
4.1.4 Radionuclide Distributions	4-12
4.1.5 Radiological Status of Facilities.....	4-16
4.2 Radiological Status of Environmental Media	4-23
4.2.1 Data Sources.....	4-24
4.2.2 Background Levels.....	4-25
4.2.3 Radiological Status of Surface Soil and Sediment.....	4-29
4.2.4 Radiological Status of Subsurface Soil	4-30
4.2.5 Radiological Concentrations Exceeding Background in Surface Soil, Sediment, and Subsurface Soil By WMA	4-35
4.2.6 Environmental radiation Levels	4-48
4.2.7 Radiological Status of Onsite Surface Water	4-53
4.2.8 Radiological Status of Groundwater	4-56
4.3 References	4-67
5.0 DOSE MODELING	5-1
5.1 Introduction.....	5-2
5.1.1 Applicable Requirements and Guidance.....	5-2
5.1.2 Context for DCGL Development	5-2
5.1.3 Context for the Integrated Dose Assessment	5-9
5.1.4 Potential Impact from Long-Term Erosion	5-13
5.1.5 Potential Changes in Groundwater Flow Fields	5-14
5.1.6 Seepage of Groundwater	5-15
5.1.7 Potential Impacts on the Kent Recessional Sequence	5-16

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

5.1.8 General Dose Modeling Process	5-17
5.2 DCGL Development.....	5-19
5.2.1 Conceptual Models for DCGL Development.....	5-20
5.2.2 Mathematic Model.....	5-31
5.2.3 Summary of Results.....	5-33
5.2.4 Discussion of Sensitivity Analyses and Uncertainty	5-35
5.3 Limited Site-Wide Dose Assessment	5-43
5.3.1 Basis for this Assessment	5-44
5.3.2 Assessment Approach	5-44
5.3.3 Results of the Assessments.....	5-45
5.4 Cleanup Goals and Additional Analyses	5-46
5.4.1 Cleanup Goals	5-46
5.4.2 Refining DCGLs and Cleanup Goals	5-50
5.4.3 Use of a Surrogate Radionuclide DCGL	5-50
5.4.4 Preliminary Dose Assessment.....	5-50
5.4.5 Final Dose Assessment	5-51
5.5 References	5-51
6.0 ALARA ANALYSIS.....	6-2
6.1 Introduction.....	6-2
6.1.1 Applicable Requirements and Guidance.....	6-2
6.1.2 Remediation Activities of Interest.....	6-3
6.1.3 The DCGLs Involved	6-3
6.2 Achieving a Decommissioning Goal Below the Dose Limits.....	6-3
6.2.1 Phase 1 Proposed Decommissioning Strategy Promotes ALARA	6-4
6.2.2 Conservatism in DCGL Development.....	6-5
6.3.3 Conservatism from the Decontamination and Final Status Survey Processes.....	6-5
6.3 DCGL ALARA Analysis	6-5
6.3.1 ALARA Analysis Guidance	6-5
6.3.2 Calculating Benefits and Costs	6-6

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

6.3.3 Surface Soil Preliminary ALARA Analysis	6-7
6.3.4 Subsurface Soil Preliminary ALARA Analysis	6-8
6.3.5 Streambed Sediment Preliminary ALARA Analysis	6-9
6.4 Additional Analyses	6-6
6.5 References	6-10
7.0 PLANNED DECOMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES	7-1
7.1 Conditions at the Beginning of the Phase 1 Decommissioning Work.....	7-2
7.2 General Approach and General Requirements	7-4
7.2.1 General Approach	7-4
7.2.2 General Requirements	7-5
7.3 WMA 1 Proposed Decommissioning Activities.....	7-9
7.3.1 Characterizing Soil and Streambed Sediment.....	7-10
7.3.2 Relocating the Vitrified HLW Canisters.....	7-10
7.3.3 Removing the Above-Grade Portion of the Process Building.....	7-14
7.3.4 Removing the Above-Grade Portion of the Vitrification Facility	7-16
7.3.5 Removing the 01-14 Building and the Vitrification Off-Gas Line	7-17
7.3.6 Removing the Load-In/Load-Out Facility	7-18
7.3.7 Removing Other WMA 1 Structures	7-18
7.3.8 Removing the Underground Structures and Equipment and the Plume Source Area	7-19
7.3.9 Site Restoration	7-26
7.4 WMA 2 Proposed Decommissioning Activities.....	7-27
7.4.1 Characterizing Soil and Sediment.....	7-28
7.4.2 Removing Structures.....	7-28
7.4.3 Decommissioning the Lagoons.....	7-29
7.5 WMA 3 Proposed Decommissioning Activities.....	7-34
7.5.1 Removing Structures	7-34
7.5.2 Removing the Waste Tank Pumps and Pump Support Structures	7-35
7.5.3 Removing the HLW Transfer Trench Piping and Equipment	7-35
7.5.4 Monitoring and Maintenance	7-36

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

7.6 WMA 5 Proposed Decommissioning Activities	7-36
7.6.1 Removing Lag Storage Addition 4 and the Shipping Depot	7-36
7.6.2 Removing the Remote-Handled Waste Facility	7-37
7.6.3 Removing Remaining Floor Slabs and Foundations and Gravel Pads	7-37
7.7 WMA 6 Proposed Decommissioning Activities	7-38
7.7.1 Removing the Sewage Treatment Plant	7-38
7.7.2 Removing the Equalization Basin	7-38
7.7.3 Removing the Equalization Tank	7-38
7.7.4 Removing the Demineralizer Sludge Ponds	7-39
7.7.5 Removing the South Waste Tank Farm Test Tower	7-39
7.7.6 Removing the Remaining Floor Slabs and Foundations	7-39
7.8 WMA 7 Proposed Decommissioning Activities	7-39
7.9 WMA 9 Proposed Decommissioning Activities	7-39
7.10 WMA 10 Proposed Decommissioning Activities	7-40
7.11 Remedial Technologies	7-40
7.11.1 Pipe Cutting and Other Metal Cutting	7-41
7.11.2 Tool Positioning Technologies	7-42
7.11.3 Concrete Cutting and Demolition	7-43
7.11.4 Concrete Decontamination	7-44
7.11.5 Demolition of Structures	7-46
7.11.6 Excavation and Grading	7-47
7.12 Schedule	7-48
7.8 References	7-50
8.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	8-1
8.1 Quality Assurance Organization	8-2
8.1.1 Quality Assurance Organization Duties and Responsibilities	8-3
8.1.2 Decommissioning Project Quality Assurance Duties and Responsibilities	8-4
8.2 Assuring Quality in Preliminary Engineering Work	8-4
8.2.1 Dose Modeling	8-4

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

8.2.2	Engineered Barrier Design	8-5
8.2.3	Other Engineering Work	8-6
8.3	Decommissioning Quality Assurance Program	8-6
8.3.1	General Description of the Program	8-7
8.3.2	Characterization and Final Status Survey Data	8-7
8.3.3	Engineering Design and Data, Calculations, and Modeling	8-10
8.4	Document Control	8-11
8.5	Control of Measuring and Test Equipment	8-12
8.6	Control of Purchased Material and Subcontractor Services	8-12
8.7	Corrective Action	8-12
8.8	Audits and Surveillances	8-12
8.9	Quality Assurance Records	8-13
8.10	References	8-14
9.0	FACILITY RADIATION SURVEYS	9-1
9.1	Release Criteria	9-2
9.2	Types of Surveys and Their Purposes	9-5
9.2.1	Background Surveys	9-5
9.2.2	Scoping Surveys	9-5
9.2.3	End-of-Task Surveys	9-6
9.2.4	Characterization Surveys	9-6
9.2.5	In-Process Surveys	9-6
9.2.6	Final Status Surveys	9-7
9.2.7	Confirmation Surveys	9-7
9.3	Background Surveys	9-8
9.4	Characterization Surveys	9-8
9.4.1	Characterization Sample and Analysis Plan Content	9-8
9.4.2	Characterization Data Quality Objectives	9-12
9.4.3	Characterization Quality Requirements	9-13
9.5	In-Process Surveys	9-15

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

9.6 The Phase 1 Final Status Survey	9-15
9.6.1 Phase 1 Final Status Survey Plan Content	9-15
9.6.2 Data Quality Objectives for the Phase 1 Final Status Survey	9-21
9.6.3 Phase 1 Final Status Survey Quality Requirements	9-22
9.7 The Survey Process by Waste Management Area	9-22
9.7.1 WMA 1 Process Building and Vitrification Facility Area	9-22
9.7.2 WMA 2 Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility Area	9-26
9.7.3 WMA 3 Waste Tank Farm Area	9-29
9.7.4 WMA 5 Waste Storage Area	9-29
9.7.5 WMA 6 Central Project Premises	9-32
9.7.6 WMA 7 NDA and Associated Facilities	9-33
9.7.7 WMA 8, State-Licensed Disposal Area	9-33
9.7.8 WMA 9, Radwaste Treatment System Drum Cell Area	9-33
9.7.9 WMA 10, Support and Services Area	9-34
9.7.10 WMA 11, Bulk Storage Warehouse and Hydrofracture Test Well Area	9-35
9.7.11 WMA 12, Balance of the Site	9-35
9.7.12 Environmental Media	9-35
9.8 Phase 1 Final Status Survey Report Requirements	9-37
9.8.1 Overview of Results	9-37
9.8.2 Discussion of Changes	9-37
9.8.3 Description of How Numbers of Samples Were Determined	9-37
9.8.4 Sample Number Determination Values	9-37
9.8.5 Results for Each Survey Unit	9-37
9.8.6 Survey Unit Changes	9-38
9.8.7 Actions Taken for Failed Survey Units	9-38
9.8.8 Impact of Survey Unit Failures	9-38
9.9 References	9-38

APPENDIX

A	Decommissioning Plan Annotated Checklist	A-1
---	--	-----

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

B	Environmental Radioactivity Data	B-1
C	Details of DCGL Development and the Integrated Dose Assessment	C-1
D	Engineered Barriers and Post-Remediation Activities	D-1

FIGURES

ES-1	Location of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center	ES-3
ES-2	The Former Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Plant and the WVDP in 2006	ES-4
ES-3	Waste Management Areas 1-10	ES-6
ES-4	The Plant During the Early Years	ES-10
ES-5	Important Sources of Radioactive Contamination on the Project Premises	ES-13
1-1	The Former Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Plant and the WVDP in 2006	1-5
1-2	The Project Premises Showing WMAs and the Phase 1 Excavations	1-15
1-3	WMA 1 Area in 2007	1-16
1-4	WMA 2 in 2007	1-17
1-5	Facilities Within the Scope of Phase 1 of the Decommissioning, North Plateau..	1-20
1-6	Facilities Within the Scope of Phase 1 of the Decommissioning, South Plateau.	1-21
1-7	The WVDP in the Interim End State	1-22
1-8	The WVDP After Completion of Phase 1	1-22
2-1	Spent Fuel Reprocessing Diagram (PUREX Process)	2-7
2-2	Simplified HLW Pretreatment Process Diagram	2-16
2-3	Previous and Current Locations of Radionuclides in North Plateau Facilities at the WVDP	2-22
2-4	Previous and Current Locations of Radionuclides in South Plateau Facilities at the WVDP	2-23
2-5	Location of Process Building Remediation Activities for Site facilities Prior to Phase 1 of the Proposed Decommissioning	2-24
2-6	Sr-90 Groundwater Plume on the North Plateau	2-34
2-7	1984 Aerial Radiation Survey Isopleths of the WVDP and Surrounding Area	2-38
2-8	NDA Disposal Area Burials	2-46
3-1	Location of the Center in Western New York	3-89
3-2	The Center, the WVDP, and the Surrounding Area	3-90

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

FIGURES

3-3	Topography of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center	3-91
3-4	Topography of Project Premises, Showing 100-Year Floodplain	3-92
3-5	Security Fence Around WVDP Premises Boundary	3-93
3-6	North Plateau Geologic Cross Section	3-94
3-7	South Plateau Geologic Cross Section	3-94
3-8	WMAs 1 Through 10	3-95
3-9	WMAs 11 and 12	3-96
3-10	WMA 1	3-97
3-11	Aerial View of Process Building and Vitrification Facility Area in 2007	3-98
3-12	Construction of the Process Building	3-99
3-13A	Process Building Layout – Below Grade	3-100
3-13B	Process Building Layout at 100-Foot Elevation	3-101
3-13C	Process Building Layout at 114.5-Foot Elevation	3-102
3-13D	Process Building Layout at 131-Foot Elevation	3-103
3-13E	Process Building Layout at 144-Foot Elevation	3-104
3-13F	Process Building Layout at 160-Foot Elevation	3-105
3-14	West Side of the Process Building	3-106
3-15	Fuel Receiving and Storage Area	3-106
3-16	HLW Canisters Stored in the Chemical Process Cell	3-107
3-17	Conditions in the General Purpose Cell in 1999	3-107
3-18	Process Mechanical Cell During Deactivation	3-108
3-19	Extraction Cell 3	3-108
3-20	The Spent Fuel Pool After Deactivation	3-109
3-21	Equipment Decontamination Room Before Cleanup	3-109
3-22	Vitrification Facility General Arrangement	3-110
3-23	Vitrification Cell at Time of Startup	3-110
3-24	WMA 2	3-111
3-25	The Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility	3-112
3-26	The LLW2 Building that Replaced the 02 Building	3-113

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

FIGURES

3-27	The Lagoon 1 Area	3-113
3-28	The New Interceptors.....	3-114
3-29	WMA 3	3-115
3-30	Aerial View of WMA 3 Area	3-116
3-31	Cutaway View of 750,000-Gallon HLW Tank	3-116
3-32	HLW Transfer and Mobilization Pumps	3-117
3-33	HLW Transfer Trench Under Construction	3-118
3-34	Typical HLW Pump Pit.....	3-118
3-35	WMA 5	3-119
3-36	The Remote Handled Waste Facility	3-120
3-37	The Remote Handled Waste Facility First Floor Layout.....	3-120
3-38	WMA 6	3-121
3-39	The Rail Spur	3-122
3-40	The New Cooling Tower	3-122
3-41	WMA 7	3-123
3-42	WMA 9	3-124
3-43	WMA 10	3-125
3-44	Population Around the WVDP by Compass Vector.....	3-126
3-45	Land Use in the Vicinity of the Center	3-127
3-46	Tornado Events in Western New York (1950 – 2002)	3-128
3-47	Thunderstorm Wind Events in Western New York (1950 – 2002)	3-129
3-48	Hail Events in Western New York (1950 – 2002)	3-130
3-49	Wind Rose Diagram	3-131
3-50	Cloud Ceiling Information	3-132
3-51	Regional Physiographic map	3-133
3-52	Bedrock and Glacial Stratigraphy of the WVDP	3-134
3-53	Surface Geology of the Project Premises and the SDA	3-135
3-54	Fold and Selected Joint Trends in the Appalachian Plateau of Western and Central New York.....	3-136

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

FIGURES

3-55	Seismo-Tectonic Map of Western New York Showing Selected Regional Geologic Structures	3-137
3-56	Major Northwest Trending Lineaments in New York and Pennsylvania	3-138
3-57	Location of Seismic Lines WVN1 and BER 83-2A	3-139
3-58	Seismic Hazard Curves for Peak Horizontal Acceleration	3-140
3-59	Seismic Hazard Curves for 1.0 Second Horizontal Acceleration	3-141
3-60	Seismic Source Contributions to Mean Peak Horizontal Acceleration Hazard ..	3-142
3-61	Buttermilk Creek Drainage Basin.....	3-143
3-62	Groundwater Elevation Contours of the Sand and Gravel Unit, First Quarter 2008.....	3-144
3-63	Groundwater Elevation Contours of the Weathered Lavery Till, First Quarter 2008.....	3-145
3-64	Groundwater Elevation Contours of the Lavery Till Sand, First Quarter 2008.....	3-146
3-65	Groundwater Elevation Contours of the Kent Recessional Sequence, First Quarter 2008.....	3-147
3-66	Vertical Distribution of Cesium K_d in the Weathered and Unweathered Tills	3-148
3-67	Vertical Distribution of Iodine K_d in the Weathered and Unweathered Tills.....	3-148
3-68	Vertical Distribution of Strontium K_d in the Weathered and Unweathered Tills ..	3-149
3-69	Locations of Natural Gas and Oil Wells in Western New York	3-150
3-70	Locations of Natural Gas and Oil Wells in the Vicinity of the WVDP.....	3-151
4-1	Location of Impacted and Non-Impacted Facilities	4-7
4-2	Impacted and Non-Impacted Facilities in WMA 1.....	4-8
4-3	Impacted and Non-Impacted Facilities in WMA 2.....	4-9
4-4	Impacted Facilities in WMA 3	4-10
4-5	Impacted and Non-Impacted Facilities in WMA 6.....	4-11
4-6	Surface Soil and Sediment Locations With Radionuclide Concentrations in Excess of Background	4-31
4-7	Subsurface Soil Locations With Radionuclide Concentrations in Excess of Background	4-32
4-8	Cross Section of Sr-90 Concentrations Versus Depth in Subsurface Soil on the North Plateau	4-34

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

FIGURES

4-9	Onsite Environmental TLD Locations	4-49
4-10	WVDP Radiological Control Areas	4-52
4-11	Surface Water Locations With Radionuclide Concentrations in Excess of Background	4-54
4-12	Routine Groundwater Monitoring Locations With Radionuclide Concentrations in Excess of Background	4-61
4-13	Geoprobe® Groundwater Locations with Radionuclide Concentrations in Excess of Background	4-65
4-14	North Plateau Groundwater Plume	4-66
5-1	Areas of Interest – Surface Soil, Subsurface Soil, and Streambed Sediment Within the Project Premises	5-3
5-2	Conceptual Cross Section of WMA 1 Excavation With Representative Data on Sr-90 Concentrations	5-5
5-3	Conceptual Cross Section of WMA 2 Excavation With Representative Data on Subsurface Soil Contamination	5-6
5-4	Sources at the Conclusion of Phase 1 of the Proposed Decommissioning	5-11
5-5	Locations of Perimeter Seeps on the North Plateau	5-15
5-6	General Dose Modeling Process	5-18
5-7	Conceptual Model for Surface Soil DCGL Development	5-20
5-8	Conceptual Model for Subsurface Soil DCGL Development	5-24
5-9	Conceptual Model for Stream Sediment DCGL Development	5-28
7-1	WMA 1 in 2007	7-9
7-2	Conceptual Arrangement for Transferring Vitrified HLW Canisters	7-12
7-3	Storage Module Conceptual Design	7-8
7-4	Process Building General Arrangement	7-9
7-5	Layout of Process Building Underground Structures	7-20
7-6	Conceptual Layout of WMA 1 Excavation	7-21
7-7	Conceptual WMA 1 Excavation Contour, With Selected Subsurface Soil Data ...	7-22
7-8	Excavation Cross Sections	7-23
7-9	WMA 2 in 2007	7-27
7-10	Conceptual Arrangement of WMA 2 Excavation, Plan View	7-30

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

FIGURES

7-11	Conceptual Arrangement of WMA 2 Excavation, Cross Section	7-31
7-12	Typical Demolition Machine	7-43
7-13	Moose®	7-45
7-14	Universal Demolition Processor.....	7-47
7-15	Conceptual Schedule of Phase 1 Proposed Decommissioning Activities	7-49
8-1	Decommissioning Organization Quality Assurance Relationships	8-2
B-1	Background Sampling Locations More Than 10 Kilometers From the WVDP	B-3
B-2	Sampling Locations Within 10 Kilometers From the WVDP Used for Background Calculations	B-3
B-3	Onsite Groundwater Wells and Subsurface Soil Boreholes Used as Background	B-3
D-1	Plan View of the WMA 1 Excavation	D-4
D-2	Groundwater Flow Associated with the WMA 1 and WMA 3 Engineered Barriers	D-7
D-3	Plan View of the WMA 2 Excavation	D-9
D-4	Groundwater Monitoring Locations Within the Project Premises During the Phase 1 Institutional Control Period	D-12
D-5	Surface Water and Sediment Sampling Locations on the Project Premises During the Phase 1 Institutional Control Period.....	D-16
D-6	Offsite Surface Water and Sediment Sampling Locations During the Phase 1 Institutional Control Period	D-17
D-7	Storm Water and Sediment Sampling Locations on the Project Premises During the Phase 1 Institutional Control Period.....	D-19
D-8	Air Monitoring Locations on the Project Premises During the Phase 1 Institutional Control Period.....	D-20
D-9	Direct Radiation Monitoring Locations on the Project Premises During the Phase 1 Institutional Control Period	D-21
D-10	Offsite Direct Radiation Monitoring Locations During the Phase 1 Institutional Control Period.....	D-22

TABLES

ES-1	DCGL _W Values for 25 Millirem per Year (pCi/g)	ES-17
ES-2	Cleanup Goals to be Used in Remediation (pCi/g)	ES-18

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

TABLES

1-1	Facilities and Areas Within Phase 1 Scope	1-19
2-1	Limits for Nuclear Fuel in Solid Fuel Elements and Solutions	2-2
2-2	Limits for Unirradiated Source Material	2-3
2-3	Limits Used for Standards, Test, Measurements, and Calibrations	2-3
2-4	Nuclear Fuel Received and Reprocessed	2-6
2-5	Estimated Radionuclide Content (in Curies) of Tanks 8D-2 and 8D-4 at the Completion of Reprocessing	2-9
2-6	Chemical Composition of Tank 8D-2 Supernatant at the Completion of Reprocessing	2-11
2-7	Chemical Composition of Tank 8D-2 Sludge at the Completion of Reprocessing	2-8
2-8	Chemical Composition of Tank 8D-4 Waste at the Completion of Reprocessing	2-9
2-9	Chemical Composition of Glass Waste Form	2-18
2-10	Typical HLW Canister Radionuclide Content.....	2-19
2-11	Activity Removed by NFS for the Period 1972 Through 1977	2-20
2-12	Facilities Shown in Figures 2-3 through 2-6	2-25
2-13	Facilities Remediated or to be Remediated by the WVDP Before Decommissioning	2-26
2-14	DOE 10 CFR 835 Surface Contamination Guidelines	2-31
2-15	Radiological Areas and Radioactive Material Areas	2-32
2-16	Released Radionuclide Activity Estimates for the North Plateau Plume	2-35
2-17	Principal Radionuclides in Major Spills Occurring During NFS Operations	2-39
2-18	WVDP Spills Impacting Environmental Media (1982 – 2007)	2-41
2-19	Estimated Residual Radioactivity in Lagoon 1	2-43
2-20	Summary of Wastes in the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area.....	2-44
2-21	Estimated Radioactivity in the NDA	2-45
3-1	Businesses, Farms, and Community Centers Within a 3.1 Mile Radius of the Site	3-26
3-2	2002 Resident Population Estimates by Directional Sector Within a 3.1 Mile Radius of the Main Plant Stack	3-28
3-3	Nearest Residences by Sector.....	3-29

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

TABLES

3-4	Number of Residential Wells or Springs Used for Drinking Water By Sector Within a 3.1-Mile Radius of the Main Plant Stack	3-30
3-5	Locations and Populations of Towns and Villages Partially or Totally Within 10 Miles of the Site	3-31
3-6	Populations Of Selected Municipalities, Counties, and States Within 50 Miles of the Site (1960-2000)	3-32
3-7	Leading Agricultural Products in Cattaraugus County	3-34
3-8	2002 Consumable Animal Population Estimates by Sector Within a 3.1-Mile Radius of the Main Plant Stack	3-34
3-9	2002 Crop Estimates in Acres by Sector Within a 3.1-Mile Radius of the Main Plant Stack	3-35
3-10	Wind Speed and Direction Frequency Distribution at 10 Meters	3-43
3-11	Wind Speed and Direction Frequency Distribution at 60 Meters	3-44
3-12	Generalized Paleozoic Stratigraphic Section for Southwestern New York	3-46
3-13	Summary of Erosion Rates Near the WVDP	3-51
3-14	Summary of Observed Faults on Seismic Lines WVN-1 and BER83-2A	3-54
3-15	Historic Seismicity Within 320 Kilometers (200 Miles) of Site.....	3-58
3-16	Site-Specific Mean Spectral Accelerations on Hard Rock (g's)	3-62
3-17	Site-Specific Mean Spectral Accelerations on Soil (g's) for the North Plateau	3-62
3-18	Site-Specific Mean Spectral Accelerations on Soil (g's) for the South Plateau	3-62
3-19	WVDP Hydraulic Conductivity (K) Testing Summary Table	3-70
3-20	Distribution Coefficients	3-76
3-21	Total Porosity	3-78
3-22	2001 Natural Gas and Oil Production in Ashford, Cattaraugus, and Erie Counties, and the State of New York	3-79
4-1	Scaling Factors for Spent Fuel Reprocessed	4-8
4-2	Batch 10 Sample Data	4-9
4-3	Relative Fractions of Process Building Dominant Radionuclides	4-15
4-4	Relative Fractions of Vitrification Facility Dominant Radionuclides	4-15
4-5	Estimated Process Building Residual Activity at Start of Decommissioning	4-16
4-6	Estimated Total Activity in Representative Process Building Areas	4-16

CONTENTS**TABLES**

4-7	Measured Maximum Gamma Radiation Levels in Process Building Areas	4-17
4-8	Estimated Total Activity in the Vitrification Facility	4-18
4-9	Estimated Radioactivity in the Underground Waste Tanks	4-20
4-10	Estimated Radioactivity in the NDA	4-22
4-11	Median and Maximum Background Concentrations for Environmental Media at the WVDP	4-26
4-12	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Subsurface Soil at WMA 1	4-35
4-13	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil From WMA 2	4-36
4-14	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Sediment From WMA 2	4-37
4-15	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Subsurface Soil From WMA 2	4-39
4-16	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil at WMA 3	4-40
4-17	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil, Sediment, and Subsurface Soil From WMA 4	4-40
4-18	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil, Sediment, and Subsurface Soil at WMA 5	4-42
4-19	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil, Sediment, and Subsurface Soil at WMA 6	4-43
4-20	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil, Sediment, and Subsurface Soil at WMA 7	4-45
4-21	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil and Sediment at WMA 10	4-46
4-22	Above-Background Concentrations of Radionuclides in Surface Soil and Sediment at WMA 12	4-47
4-23	Environmental Radiation Levels on the WVDP Site (1998-2007 Data)	4-50
4-24	Radionuclide Concentrations (pCi/L) in Excess of Background in Surface Water	4-55
4-25	Routine Groundwater Monitoring Locations With Radionuclide Concentrations (pCi/L) in Excess of Background	4-57
4-26	Geoprobe® Groundwater Monitoring Points With Radionuclide Concentrations (pCi/L) in Excess of Background	4-62

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

TABLES

5-1	Measured Maximum Lavery Till Radionuclide Concentrations	5-7
5-2	Exposure Pathways for Surface Soil DCGL Development.....	5-21
5-3	Key Input Parameters for Surface Soil DCGL Development.....	5-22
5-4	Exposure Pathways for Subsurface Soil DCGL Development	5-26
5-5	Key Input Parameters for Subsurface Soil DCGL Development.....	5-27
5-6	Exposure Pathways for Streambed Sediment DCGL Development	5-29
5-7	Key Input Parameters for Streambed Sediment DCGL Development	5-31
5-8	DCGLs For 25 mrem (pCi/g).....	5-33
5-9	Summary of Parameter Sensitivity Analyses – Surface Soil DCGLs	5-35
5-10	Summary of Parameter Sensitivity Analyses – Subsurface Soil DCGLs	5-38
5-11	Summary of Parameter Sensitivity Analyses – Streambed Sediment DCGLs.....	5-40
5-12	Limited Site-Wide Dose Assessment 1 Results (DLCG”s in pCi/g).....	5-45
5-13	Limited Site-Wide Dose Assessment 2 Results (DLCG”s in pCi/g).....	5-46
5-14	Cleanup Goals to be Used in Remediation in pCi/g	5-48
6-1	Possible Benefits and Costs Related to Decommissioning	6-8
7-1	Expected Facility and Area Conditions at the Beginning of Phase 1	7-2
9-1	Surface Soil Cleanup Goal Area Factors	9-3
9-2	Subsurface Soil Cleanup Goal Area Factors	9-3
9-3	Streambed Sediment Cleanup Goal Area Factors.....	9-4
9-4	Radiological Field Instruments	9-10
9-5	Radiological Field Instruments for Phase 1 Final Status Survey	9-18
9-6	Scan Surveys for Different Area Classifications	9-18
9-7	Laboratory Methods	9-23
A-1	NUREG-1757 Checklist – Phase 1 Decommissioning Plan Comparison.....	A-2
B-1	Summary of Comparisons of Radionuclide Data from Test Surface Soil Locations vs. SFGRVAL Background	B-4
B-2	Summary Information for Environmental Medium Background Calculations	B-9
B-3	Surface Soil Background Radionuclide Concentrations for the WVDP	B-11
B-4	Sediment Background Radionuclide Concentrations for the WVDP	B-12

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

CONTENTS

TABLES

B-5	Subsurface Soil Background Radionuclide Concentrations for the WVDP	B-13
B-6	Surface Water Background Radionuclide Concentrations for the WVDP	B-14
B-7	Groundwater Background Radionuclide Concentrations for the WVDP	B-15
B-8	Background Environmental Radiation Levels the WVDP	B-16
B-9	Radionuclides in Surface Soil: Ratios to Cs-137	B-22
B-10	Radionuclides in Sediment: Ratios to Cs-137	B-23
B-11	Radionuclides in Subsurface Soil: Ratios to Cs-137	B-25
B-12	Summary of Radionuclide Results from Routine Onsite Sediment Monitoring Locations	B-26
B-13	Summary of Radionuclide Results from Routine Onsite Surface Water Monitoring Locations	B-28
B-14	Summary of Radionuclide Results from Routine Onsite Groundwater Monitoring Locations	B-32
B-15	Groundwater Monitoring Locations: Coordinates, Depth, Screened Interval, and Geologic Unit	B-41
B-16	Location, Elevation, and Depth of Geoprobe® Groundwater Sampling Points	B-45
B-17	Groundwater Points Excluded from the Evaluation	B-49
C-1	RESRAD Input Parameters	C-3
C-2	Soil/Water Distribution Coefficients	C-10
C-3	Scenario Exposure Pathways for WVDP DCGL Development	C-12
C-4	Radionuclide Concentrations From Lavery Till Samples in the WMA 1 and WMA 2 Excavation Areas	C-12
C-40	Summary of Surface Soil DCGL Sensitivity Analysis	C-26
C-66	Summary of Subsurface Soil DCGL Sensitivity Analysis	C-28
C-92	Summary of Sediment DCGL Sensitivity Analysis	C-29
C-99	Summary of Primary Dose Pathways	C-32

Note that other tables appear in the Appendix C, Attachment 1 electronic files.

NOTATION

Acronyms and Abbreviations

AEC	U.S. Atomic Energy Commission
ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
BH	bore hole
CG	cleanup goal
DCGL	derived concentration guideline level
DCGL _w	derived concentration guideline level, wide
DCGL _{EMC}	derived concentration guideline level, elevated measurement concentration
DCGL _{scan}	derived concentration guideline level, scan
DOE	Department of Energy
DQO	data quality objective
DSR	dose/source ratio
E	east
EIS	environmental impact statement
EMC	elevated measurement concentration
EPA	U.S Environmental Protection Agency
F	Fahrenheit
FR	Federal Register
HEPA	high-efficiency particulate air
HLW	high-level waste
ICORS	Interagency Steering Committee on Radiation Standards
K	hydraulic conductivity
K _d	distribution coefficient
KRS	Kent recessional sequence
LLW	low-level waste
LTR	License Termination Rule
LTS	Lavery till sand
MARSSIM	Multi-Agency Radiation Survey and Site Investigation Manual
MDC	minimum detectable concentration
MMI	Modified Mercalli Intensity
N	north

WVDP DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

NOTATION

ND	not detected
NDA	NRC-Licensed Disposal Area
NESHAP	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NPR	New Production Reactor
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NFS	Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc.
NYSDEC	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation
NYSERDA	New York State Energy Research and Development Authority
PUREX	plutonium uranium refining by extraction
QA	quality assurance
QC	quality control
qtr	quarter
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RESRAD	Residual radioactivity [computer code]
RFI	RCRA facility investigation
S&G	sand and gravel
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
SB	subsurface soil
SD	stream bank sediment
SDA	State-Licensed Disposal Area
SPDES	State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
SS	surface soil
THOREX	thorium uranium extraction process
TLD	thermoluminescent dosimeter
ULT	unweathered Lavery till
W	west
WLT	weathered Lavery till
WMA	waste management area
WSMS	Washington Safety Management Solutions
WVDP	West Valley Demonstration Project
WVES	West Valley Environmental Services
WVNSCO	West Valley Nuclear Services Company

WVDP DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

NOTATION

Units

Ci	curie
cfm	cubic feet per minute
cm	centimeter
cm ²	centimeter squared
cm ³	centimeter cubed
cpm	counts per minute
dpm	disintegrations per minute
g	gram [mass]
g	acceleration due to gravity [in reference to accelerations]
h	hour
kg	kilogram
km	kilometer
L	liter
m	meter
mCi	millicurie
millirem	0.001 Roentgen equivalent man
mL	milliliter
mrem	millirem
mR	milli Roentgen
μCi	0.000001 curie
μR	micro Roentgen
μrem	micro rem
μL	0.000001 liter
pCi	10 ⁻¹² curie
R	Roentgen
rem	Roentgen equivalent man
s	second
y	year

This page is intentionally blank.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE OF THIS EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this part of the Decommissioning Plan is to provide readers a synopsis of the plan content.

INFORMATION IN THIS SECTION

The following matters are addressed in the order given:

- The requirements of the West Valley Demonstration Project Act, the decommissioning requirements, and the proposed decommissioning approach;
- The name and address of the licensee and site owner;
- The location and address of the site;
- A brief description of the site and immediate environs;
- A summary of prior licensed activities and other activities involving radioactivity;
- The nature and extent of radioactive contamination at the site;
- The decommissioning objective;
- Decommissioning controls;
- Derived concentration guideline levels and cleanup goals;
- A summary of ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) evaluations performed and planned;
- Planned initiation and completion dates for the proposed decommissioning; and
- A summary of post-remediation activities.

RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER PLAN SECTIONS

This summary briefly describes the content of key parts of the plan.

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has prepared this plan pursuant to its statutory obligations for decontamination and decommissioning of the West Valley Demonstration Project (WVDP) under the WVDP Act of 1980, Public Law Public Law 96-368, and to satisfy commitments made to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) in 1981 and 2003 to prepare a decommissioning plan for the project and submit it to NRC for review.

This plan addresses Phase 1 of the two phases of the WVDP proposed decommissioning. The approach for Phase 2 would be determined later after consideration of the results of additional studies and evaluations carried out during and subsequent to Phase 1. The basis for this proposed approach and the general context for the decommissioning are explained in the sidebar discussion on the next page.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

The WVDP Act and the WVDP

This decommissioning project is being conducted under the WVDP Act of 1980. The WVDP Act directed DOE to carry out the following activities: (1) solidify the high-level waste (HLW) at the site, (2) develop containers suitable for permanent disposal of the solidified HLW, (3) transport the waste to a federal repository for permanent disposal, (4) dispose of low-level radioactive waste and transuranic waste produced in the solidification of the HLW, and (5) decontaminate and decommission the tanks, facilities, materials, and hardware used in the project in accordance with requirements prescribed by the NRC. The WVDP was initiated to allow DOE to carry out its responsibilities under the WVDP Act. This plan focuses on the fifth activity – decontamination and decommissioning.

Decommissioning Requirements

The NRC has prescribed the requirements in its License Termination Rule in Code of Federal Regulations 10 CFR Part 20, Subpart E to WVDP facilities and as the decommissioning goal for the entire NRC-licensed site.

The Phased Decision-Making Approach

The environmental impacts of the proposed approach described in this plan are being analyzed in the *Environmental Impact Statement on Decommissioning and/or Long-Term Stewardship of the WVDP and Western New York Nuclear Service Center*, hereafter referred to as the Decommissioning EIS. Decommissioning would not begin until the Record of Decision is issued. The decommissioning is proposed to be accomplished in two phases, with Phase 1 expected to begin in 2011. This phased decision-making approach is the preferred alternative in the Decommissioning EIS.

Phase 1 of the decommissioning would entail removal of the WVDP Main Plant Process Building, the WVDP Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility, and certain other facilities within the WVDP area, which is known as the project premises. These activities would clean up much of the project premises to standards that would not prejudice decisions on the approach for Phase 2, which would complete the decommissioning. The decision on the Phase 2 approach would be made later after evaluation of additional studies and analysis, as noted previously.

The Phase 1 Decommissioning Scope

The scope of this plan is limited to certain facilities on the north plateau area of the project premises and to removal of one major facility on the south plateau, the Radwaste Treatment System Drum Cell, a former radioactive waste storage area. This plan may be revised to provide for remediation of surface soil in certain areas and streambed sediment, depending on characterization results and available funding.

This plan does not address decommissioning of the underground waste storage tanks, the region of subsurface environmental contamination known as the north plateau groundwater plume, or the two inactive radioactive waste disposal facilities on the south plateau, the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area and the State-Licensed Disposal Area, all of which would be considered in Phase 2 of the decommissioning.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

Site Owner and Site Location

Although DOE would accomplish the decommissioning for the portion of the site used by the WVDP, the entire site remains under the ownership of the New York State Energy Research and Development Authority (NYSERDA), who is the licensee. NYSERDA's main office is in Albany at the following address:

NYSERDA
17 Columbia Circle
Albany, New York 12203-6399

NYSERDA also maintains an office near the site with the following mailing address:

10282 Rock Springs Road
West Valley, New York 14171-9799

The site, which is known as the Western New York Nuclear Service Center (the Center), is located at the latter address in a rural area in Cattaraugus and Erie counties approximately 30 miles south of the city of Buffalo as shown in Figure ES-1.




Figure ES-1. Location of the Western New York Nuclear Service Center

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

Description of the Site and Immediate Environs

The Center property comprises approximately 3,345 acres ranging in elevation from 1000 to 1,800 feet above mean sea level. The area of the WVDP ranges from 1,300 to 1,445 feet above sea level. The undeveloped part of the Center remains a mixture of forest, wetlands, and abandoned farmland.

The following description of the site and its environs begins with the former reprocessing plant and the WVDP facilities and then addresses the remainder of the Center property, known as the retained premises, and the surrounding area. The project premises are shown in Figures ES-1 and ES-2. Note that residual radioactivity associated with the facilities is described later in this summary under the heading “Nature and Extent of Contamination at the Site.”



Figure ES-2. The Former Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Plant and the WVDP in 2006

The Project Premises. At the approximate middle of the Center property lies the former nuclear fuel reprocessing plant operated by Nuclear Fuel Services, Inc. from 1966 through 1972. In 1982, control of a 156.4-acre parcel of land that included this facility and the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area was transferred to DOE for accomplishment of the WVDP¹.

Figure ES-2 shows part of the Center and the project premises as they appeared in 2006. On the right side of the photograph in Figure ES-2, one can see the Vitrification Facility and the Process Building standing just behind the Waste Tank Farm where the

¹ Control of two additional small parcels of land was transferred to DOE in 1986, bringing the total to approximately 167 acres. One parcel is located on the retained premises, which is that portion of the 3,345 acres outside of the initial 156.4 acres for which control but not ownership was transferred to DOE for accomplishment of the WVDP.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

underground waste tanks are located. Dotted lines delineate the approximate location of the perimeter of the project premises and the two streams on the project premises.

At the top of Figure ES-2 can be seen the two shallow-land disposal sites for radioactive waste on the Center, the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area and the State-Licensed Disposal Area. The State-Licensed Disposal Area, which is licensed and permitted by the State and controlled by NYSEDA, lies outside of the project premises.

The approximate locations of the courses of the three named streams in the vicinity – Erdman Brook, Franks Creek, and Quarry Creek – are indicated in Figure ES-2. Erdman Brook divides the project premises into two areas known as the north plateau and the south plateau, with the Process Building standing on the north plateau.

When the Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities begin, the project premises will be in a condition known as the interim end state. The interim end state will be the condition of the project premises at the conclusion of the waste reduction and material removal campaign currently underway. As part of this work, DOE is partially decontaminating certain facilities and removing other unneeded ancillary buildings. Several buildings shown in Figure ES-2 have been removed since the photograph was taken. These and others to be removed in establishing the interim end state are identified in the figure, along with key structures to be removed during Phase 1 of the decommissioning.

Part of the site has been divided into 12 waste management areas for remediation purposes. Nine of the waste management areas are located on the project premises and one (Waste Management Area 12) is partially within the project premises, as shown in Figure ES-3. The facilities of interest are addressed as they fall within a particular waste management area.

Waste Management Area 1, the Process Building and Vitrification Facility Area. The multi-story Process Building structure is approximately 130 feet by 270 feet in area and rises approximately 79 feet above ground at its highest point (not including the main stack). Most of the structure is reinforced concrete. Parts of the building lie as much as 27 feet below ground.

Within the Process Building are a number of shielded cells where disassembly and chemical reprocessing of nuclear fuel took place. Various rooms housed supporting activities. Aisles provided equipment for remote operations in the shielded cells and access to various plant areas.

On the east side of the building stands the Fuel Receiving and Storage Area. This steel-framed, steel-sheathed structure contains two fuel pools. The floor of the deeper pool lies 45 feet below grade at its lowest point.

The Vitrification Facility, which was constructed by the WVDP, is attached to the north side of the Process Building. The Vitrification Facility is a structural steel frame and sheet metal building housing the reinforced concrete Vitrification Cell, operating aisles, and a control room. It is approximately 91 feet wide and 150 feet long with the peak of the roof standing approximately 50 feet high. The pit in the Vitrification Cell extends 14 feet below grade.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN




Figure ES-3. Waste Management Areas 1-10. (The State-Licensed Disposal Area is not within the scope of this plan.)

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

The steel-framed, steel-sheathed Load-In/Load-Out Facility connects to the west side of the Process Building as does the concrete block Plant Office Building. The 60-foot tall concrete and steel frame 01-14 Building stands at the southwest corner of the Process Building.

On the south side is the concrete-block Utility Room, with an addition known as the Utility Room Expansion, and the Laundry, which will be removed before decommissioning begins. The Fire Pump House and a large water storage tank stand south of the Process Building and an electrical substation is located on the east side.

All of the Waste Management Area 1 facilities are within the scope of this plan.

Waste Management Area 2, the Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility. This facility, located east of the Process Building, includes five lagoons used to manage radioactive wastewater, including Lagoon 1, which was removed from service in 1984. It also includes the LLW2 Building that contains liquid waste treatment equipment, two in-ground concrete interceptor tanks, the small underground concrete Neutralization Pit, and underground pipelines connecting these facilities. All of these facilities are within the scope of this plan, along with several concrete slabs, the Maintenance Shop leach field, and the inactive Solvent Dike.

Waste Management Area 3, the Waste Tank Farm Area. Located just north of the Vitrification Facility, this area contains two 750,000-gallon carbon steel underground waste tanks, designated Tanks 8D-1 and 8D-2, and two 15,000-gallon stainless steel underground waste tanks, designated 8D-3 and 8D-4. These tanks are housed in concrete vaults, with Tanks 8D-3 and 8D-4 sharing a common vault. Only Tanks 8D-2 and 8D-4 were used to store HLW during reprocessing operations; Tank 8D-1 was subsequently exposed to HLW during the WVDP. All four tanks will be empty with a tank and vault drying system in operation in the interim end state.

Also in this area are the Supernatant Treatment System Support Building and the Permanent Ventilation System Building, both built by the WVDP, several smaller structures, and the HLW transfer trench that contains piping that was used to transfer waste to the Vitrification Facility.

The following facilities in Waste Management Area 3 are within the scope of this plan: the Equipment Shelter and the associated condensers, the Con-Ed Building, the HLW mobilization and transfer pumps in the underground waste tanks, and the piping and equipment within the HLW transfer trench.

Waste Management Area 4, the Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill Area. This 10 acre area contains the 1.5 acre landfill, which was used to dispose of non-radioactive waste, and is located north of the Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility. No facilities in this area are within the scope of Phase 1 of the decommissioning.

Waste Management Area 5, the Waste Storage Area. This area, which is located west of Waste Management Area 4, will contain two structures when the interim end state is reached, both of which are within the scope of this plan. One is Lag Storage Addition 4, a clear span, steel frame, metal sheathed building with an attached steel frame, metal sheathed shipping depot. The other is the Remote-Handled Waste Facility. This steel sided

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

building contains concrete cells and rooms and is currently being used by the WVDP for processing and packaging high-activity radioactive waste. Several concrete floor slabs and gravel pads in this area are also within plan scope.

Waste Management Area 6, the Central Project Premises. This area is located west of the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area and south of the Process Building. Facilities in this area, all of which are within plan scope, are the Sewage Treatment Plant, the south Waste Tank Farm Test Tower, an equalization basin, a concrete equalization tank, and two demineralizer sludge ponds, along with several asphalt and gravel pads and the concrete Cooling Tower basin.

Waste Management Area 7, the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area. In this area, which is identified in Figures ES-2 and ES-3, lies the 400-foot by 600-foot radioactive waste burial ground, which is no longer used for radioactive waste disposal. Only remaining concrete and gravel pads in this area are within plan scope.

Waste Management Area 8, the State-Licensed Disposal Area. This radioactive waste disposal area covers approximately 15 acres. It is no longer used for radioactive waste disposal and is not within the scope of the Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities.

Waste Management Area 9, the Radwaste Treatment System Drum Cell Area. This area, which is located on the south plateau, contains one building, the Drum Cell, a former radioactive waste storage area identified in Figure ES-3. The Drum Cell has a concrete block foundation and concrete shield walls and is enclosed by a pre-engineered metal building 375 feet long, 60 feet wide, and 26 feet high. It is within the scope of this plan, as are several asphalt, concrete, and gravel pads.

Waste Management Area 10, the Support and Services Area. The remaining concrete slabs and gravel pads in this area are within the scope of this plan, as is the New Warehouse, which is located south of the Process Building. This area borders Rock Springs Road.

Waste Management Area 11, the Bulk Warehouse and Hydrofracture Test Well Area. This area is located on the retained premises south and east of the project premises. There are no facilities in this area within the scope of this plan.

Waste Management Area 12, the Balance of the Site. Only the small portion of this area within the project premises is within plan scope and that only for characterization of contaminated soil and streambed sediment and possible remediation of surface soil and streambed sediment.

Underground Piping and Equipment. Fifty-seven lines or portions of lines beneath the Process Building carried radioactive liquid, along with other lines near the Process Building and at the Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility. Three underground stainless steel wastewater tanks near the Process Building contain radioactivity. The three wastewater tanks are within the scope of this plan, as are the underground lines within Waste Management Area 1 and some of the underground lines within Waste Management Area 2.

Site Geomorphology. Streams in the area are at a relatively young stage of development and are characterized by steep profiles, vee-shaped cross sections, and little or no flood plains. Erosion within the drainage basin has been dominated by slump block formation

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

along the stream valley walls. Gullies tend to form along the stream banks during thaws and after heavy rain.

Surface Hydrology. The WVDP watershed is drained by Quarry Creek, Franks Creek, and Erdman Brook. Most surface water runoff from the project premises funnels into a single stream channel at the confluence of Franks Creek and Erdman Brook located just inside the perimeter of the project premises east of the lagoons as shown in Figure ES-3.

These waters flow into Buttermilk Creek, which runs through the retained premises east and north of the project premises. Buttermilk Creek enters Cattaraugus Creek at the north end of the Center; Cattaraugus Creek eventually flows into Lake Erie. Figure ES-1 shows both creeks.

Subsurface Conditions. Underlying the north plateau and the south plateau is more than 500 feet of Pleistocene-age glacial tills. From the surface downward, the following layers are encountered:

- The surficial sand and gravel unit – with an average composition of 55 percent gravel, 20 percent sand, and 25 percent clay – with thickness ranging from 41 feet near the Process Building to a few feet near the northern, eastern, and southern margins of the north plateau. This unit is not present on the south plateau.
- The Lavery till – a silty-clay glacial till that contains lenses of sand, silt, and clay-silt laminations, with an average composition of 50 percent clay, 30 percent silt, 10 percent sand, and 10 percent gravel – with thickness ranging from a few feet at its western margin to more than 130 feet near Buttermilk Creek. On the south plateau, the upper three to 16 feet is weathered, with fractures and root tubes, and is known as the weathered Lavery till.
- The Lavery till-sand unit – a lenticular-shaped silty, sandy layer – located on the north plateau immediately south of the Process Building. It is up to 10 feet thick and lies within the upper 20 feet of the unweathered Lavery till.
- The Kent recessional sequence – with both lacustrine and kame delta deposits – underlies the Lavery till on both the north and south plateaus. It is 30 to 60 feet thick in the WVDP area.
- Shale bedrock underlies the Lavery till and other geological units on both the north and south plateaus.

Groundwater Hydrology. The depth of groundwater in the sand and gravel unit on the north plateau ranges from the surface to 16 feet below the surface. The groundwater flows generally northeastward toward Franks Creek. Near the northwestern margin of the sand and gravel unit, flow is toward Quarry Creek and, at the southeastern margin, toward Erdman Brook. Groundwater seeps to the surface in places along stream banks and the edges of the north plateau.

The Surrounding Area. The nearest incorporated village is Springville, 3.5 miles to the north of the WVDP. The hamlet of West Valley lies 3.4 miles to the southeast. The communities of Riceville and Ashford Hollow also lie within a five-mile radius of the site. The closest major highway is U.S. Route 219, located 2.6 miles to the west.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

Population Distribution. A 2002 demographic survey showed 1,056 people living within a 3.1-mile radius of the WVDP. The nearest residence was 0.76 miles away. The 2000 U.S. census showed 83,955 people living in Cattaraugus County. A 2002 study predicted a decrease in Cattaraugus County population in coming decades, down to 80,996 in 2030.

Summary of Licensed Activities

Provisional Operating License Number CSF-1 was issued on April 19, 1966 by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission to Nuclear Fuel Services and the New York State Atomic and Space Development Authority to operate a spent fuel reprocessing and radioactive waste disposal facility at the Center. This Part 50 license provided possession limits for nuclear fuel of 21,000 kilograms (about 46,000 pounds) of U-235, 3,200 kilograms (about 7055 pounds) of U-233, and 4,000 kilograms (about 8800 pounds) of plutonium. Possession limits for unirradiated source material were 50,000 pounds of natural uranium, 100,000 pounds of uranium depleted in U-235, and 50,000 pounds of thorium. The license specified typical limits for radioactivity used for standards, measurements, and calibration purposes.

From 1966 to 1972, Nuclear Fuel Services reprocessed under this license more than 600 metric tons (600,000 kilograms or about 1,320,000 pounds) of spent nuclear fuel and generated approximately 600,000 gallons of liquid HLW as a result. The facility shut down in 1972. In 1976, without restarting, Nuclear Fuel Services withdrew from the reprocessing business and returned control of the facilities to NYSERDA, the successor to the New York State Atomic and Space Development Authority. Figure ES-4 shows the plant in the early years.



Figure ES-4. The Plant During the Early Years (The lagoons appear in the foreground. The Process Building can be seen in the background.)

Fuel received for reprocessing came from the N-Reactor at the Atomic Energy Commission's Hanford site and from nine commercial reactors. Reprocessing took place in the Process Building.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

The first step in reprocessing entailed disassembling and sectioning the fuel. The pieces of fuel were dissolved in concentrated nitric acid. The resulting aqueous stream underwent a five-stage solvent extraction process. After further purification, the uranium and plutonium product solutions were concentrated, packaged, and eventually shipped off site. The process utilized is known as the PUREX process for plутonium uranium refining by extraction.

Aqueous waste generated was reduced in volume by evaporation, neutralized, and stored in 750,000-gallon Tank 8D-2. The neutralization process caused most fission products (not including cesium) to precipitate out and form sludge on the tank bottom. The remaining radionuclides were retained in the supernatant liquid.

Fuel received included thorium-enriched uranium, which was reprocessed using the THOREX (thorium reduction extraction) process. The resulting 12,000 gallons of liquid HLW, which was not neutralized to avoid precipitating out the thorium, was stored in 15,000-gallon Tank 8D-4.

The amounts of radioactivity in Tanks 8D-2 and 8D-4 at the completion of reprocessing, with fission and activation products decay-corrected to July 1987, were:

- Tank 8D-2 supernatant – approximately 14,000,000 curies, primarily from Cs-137, and Ba-137m;
- Tank 8D-2 sludge – approximately 15,000,000 curies, primarily from Sr-90 and Y-90; and
- Tank 8D-4 – approximately 2,000,000 curies, primarily from Sr-90, Y-90, Cs-137, and Ba-137m.

During initial plant operations, low-level wastewater was piped underground to an interceptor tank and then held in the lagoon system before being discharged into Erdman Brook. In 1971, a new Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility (the O2 Building) entered service. Since that time, wastewater has been treated prior to discharge from the lagoon system, which can be seen in Figure ES-4.

During the 1970s when the plant was shut down, Nuclear Fuel Services decontaminated many of the Process Building cells and flushed many of the systems. On February 18, 1982, the facility was formally transferred to DOE for performance of the WVDP.

During plant operations, 30 amendments were made to License CSF-1, most related to technical specifications. License amendment 31 was issued in September 1981 to transfer the project premises to DOE in accordance with the WVDP Act. Amendment 32 was issued in February 1982 to terminate the responsibility and authority of Nuclear Fuel Services. No further amendments have been made, with the license technical specifications effectively being held in abeyance until completion of the WVDP.

Summary of WVDP Activities

To solidify the HLW, DOE built the Integrated Radwaste Treatment System and the Vitrification Facility.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

The Integrated Radwaste Treatment System included (1) the Supernatant Treatment System that decontaminated HLW tank solutions by ion exchange, (2) the Liquid Waste Treatment System to concentrate waste by evaporation, (3) the Cement Solidification System to solidify concentrates, and (4) the Drum Cell to store solidified waste. By 1995, the Integrated Radwaste Treatment System had produced 19,877 71-gallon drums of solidified waste, which were stored in the Drum Cell. These drums were later shipped offsite for disposal.

Tanks 8D-1 and 8D-2 were modified and used to support the solidification process. Supernatant Treatment System ion exchange columns were installed inside Tank 8D-1.

The Vitrification Facility was used to stabilize HLW sludge, loaded ion exchange resin (zeolite), and acidic THOREX waste from Tank 8D-4 in a borosilicate glass contained in stainless steel canisters. A number of modifications were made to the former reprocessing facilities to accommodate the vitrification system and the related systems. Among these changes were removing equipment from the Chemical Process Cell, decontaminating it, and installing storage racks for the HLW canisters.

Solidification of the HLW was completed in September 2002. A total of 275 canisters of vitrified HLW were produced and placed in interim storage in the former Chemical Process Cell, now known as the HLW Interim Storage Facility. DOE has deactivated portions of the Process Building and several other site facilities. In 2008, deactivation work, which includes removal of unneeded ancillary facilities, remained underway. Additional deactivation work to be completed before activities under this plan begin will result in conditions known as the interim end state.

Nature and Extent of Contamination at the Site

Due to the nature of reprocessing operations, contamination of the site is extensive. Radionuclides include the fission products Sr-90 and Cs-137, along with uranium radionuclides and actinides such as Pu-238, Pu-239, Pu-241, and Am-241. Substantial contamination levels exist in many of the cells and rooms of the Process Building and some contamination is present inside other facilities. Subsurface soil and groundwater contamination is widespread. Figure ES-5 shows key areas of interest that are discussed below. This figure identifies major sources to be removed during Phase 1 of the decommissioning and others to be considered in Phase 2.

Figure ES-5 shows the two major areas of environmental contamination at the site: the cesium prong and the north plateau groundwater plume. The cesium prong is a large area northwest of the Process Building where surface soil became contaminated with Cs-137 when a ventilation system filter in the Process Building failed in 1968². The north plateau groundwater plume originated that same year when releases of radioactive acid leaked into soil under the southwest corner of the Process Building. Since that time, mobile radionuclides such as Sr-90 have gradually migrated more than 40 feet under the building and approximately one-quarter mile northeast of the building.

² Note that the cesium prong area delineated on the figure provides only an approximation of the region of surface soil impacted by the ventilation system filter failure. Data to determine the extent of the resulting soil contamination on the project premises are not available. Such data would be collected early in Phase 1 of the decommissioning to establish the extent of residual surface and near surface soil contamination in the impacted area within the project premises.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN




Figure ES-5. Important Sources of Contamination on the Project Premises (The 1 symbol denotes major sources to be removed during Phase 1 of the proposed decommissioning while the 2 symbol denotes major sources to be considered in Phase 2. The estimates for total residual radioactivity are for 2011.)

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

The following summary of radioactive contamination addresses the more significant contaminated facilities and areas and is organized by waste management area. DOE would perform additional characterization in connection with the Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities. The estimates of residual radioactivity are as of 2011, when proposed Phase 1 is anticipated to start.

Waste Management Area 1, Process Building and Vitrification Facility Area.

- The total residual radioactivity in the Process Building is expected to be approximately 6200 curies, with Cs-137, Sr-90, and Pu-241 being the predominant radionuclides.³
- The total residual radioactivity in the Vitrification Facility is expected to be approximately 1900 curies, with Cs-137 and Sr-90 being the predominant radionuclides.
- The total residual radioactivity inside the vitrification off-gas line that runs within a concrete trench from the Vitrification Facility to the 01-14 Building is expected to be approximately 340 curies.
- Underground wastewater Tank 7D-13 is expected to contain up to 84 curies of residual radioactivity.
- Some of the underground lines in the area are expected to contain significant residual radioactivity, with one HLW transfer line expected to contain approximately 0.4 curies per linear foot.
- The subsurface soil and groundwater under the Process Building is expected to contain significant levels of residual contamination, from one or more releases of radioactivity that occurred during reprocessing that resulted in the impacted area known as the north plateau groundwater plume.

Waste Management Area 2, Low-Level Waste Treatment Facility

- Lagoon 1, which has been deactivated, is expected to contain approximately 750 curies, predominately Cs-137 and Pu-241, with most of this amount associated with sediment.
- The sediment in Lagoon 2, some of which was pumped from Lagoon 1 in 1984, is expected to contain a similar amount of residual radioactivity.
- The other three lagoons are known to contain residual radioactivity in their sediment, with concentrations much lower than concentrations in Lagoons 1 and 2.
- The water in all four active lagoons is expected to contain low levels of radioactivity, with the highest concentrations in Lagoon 2.
- The interceptors and the Neutralization Pit are expected to contain low levels of contamination, with the highest levels in the Old Interceptor.

³ This estimate does not include radioactivity in the 275 vitrified HLW canisters temporarily stored inside the building, which are estimated to contain an average of approximately 30,000 curies each in 2011.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

- Subsurface soil and groundwater in much of this waste management area has been impacted by Sr-90 associated with the north plateau groundwater plume.
- Surface soil near the interceptors contains low levels of contamination, particularly Cs-137.

Waste Management Area 3, the Waste Tank Farm Area

- The four underground waste tanks together will be empty of liquid and are expected to contain approximately 345,000 curies of residual radioactivity.
- The waste mobilization and transfer pumps, which would be removed during proposed Phase 1, are expected to contain significant amounts of residual radioactivity, with gamma radiation levels around 50 R/h.
- Some of the piping and equipment in the HLW transfer trench, which also would be removed during Phase 1, is also expected to be highly radioactive.
- The Con-Ed Building and the Equipment Shelter and condensers, which would be removed during Phase 1, are expected to contain low levels of residual radioactivity, mostly inside equipment.

Waste Management Area 4, Construction and Demolition Debris Landfill Area

- Although the buried waste in the landfill was not radioactive when it was emplaced, some of it is now expected to be contaminated with low levels of Sr-90 from the north plateau groundwater plume.
- Low levels of radioactivity are present in sediment in drainage ditches and in surface soil in this area.

Waste Management Area 5, Waste Storage Area

- The Remote-Handled Waste Facility is expected to have low levels of residual radioactivity.
- The other remaining facility – Lag Storage Addition 4 and the attached Shipping Depot – is expected to have little if any contamination above detection limits.
- Low-level contamination, especially Cs-137 associated with the cesium prong, is expected in surface soil in much of the area.
- Subsurface soil and groundwater in the eastern side of the area is known to have been impacted by the north plateau groundwater plume.

Waste Management Area 6, Central Project Premises. The soil in the two demineralizer sludge ponds is expected to contain low levels of radioactive contamination, as is the Cooling Tower basin, the remaining part of the Cooling Tower that is being removed in establishing the interim end state.

Waste Management Area 7, the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area. The buried radioactive waste in this inactive waste disposal facility is expected to contain approximately 180,000 curies.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

Waste Management Area 8, the State-Licensed Disposal Area. The buried radioactive waste in this inactive waste disposal facility is expected to contain approximately 83,000 curies. The State-Licensed Disposal Area is not within the scope of this plan, as noted previously.

Waste Management Area 9, the Radwaste Treatment System Drum Cell Area. The Drum Cell is expected to have little if any radioactive contamination above detection limits.

Waste Management Area 10, the Support and Services Area. No facilities in this area are expected to have been impacted by radioactivity.

Waste Management Area 12, Balance of the Site. Only the small part of this waste management area within the project premises security fence is within the scope of this plan. The sediment in Erdman Brook and the portion of Franks Creek within the fenced area is expected to contain low levels of contamination, especially Cs-137.

The Decommissioning Objective

The objective of Phase 1 of the proposed decommissioning is to remove certain facilities and remediate portions of the project premises to criteria for unrestricted release in the License Termination Rule in 10 CFR 20.1402, thereby fulfilling part of DOE's responsibilities under the WVDP Act for decontaminating and decommissioning the tanks, facilities, materials, and hardware used in the WVDP in accordance with requirements prescribed by the NRC. The Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities are intended to reduce short-term and long-term health and safety risks in a manner that would support any approach that could be selected for Phase 2 of the decommissioning, which would complete decontamination and decommissioning of the Center.

The objective of the Phase 1 proposed decommissioning is not license termination of any portion of the Center, which would be beyond DOE's purview since NYSERDA is the NRC licensee. However, the Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities are designed to support license termination for remediated portions of the project premises if license termination for all or part of the Center were to become an objective for Phase 2 of the decommissioning.

Decommissioning Controls

The proposed decommissioning would be accomplished by a contractor employed by DOE. DOE would provide appropriate oversight. The decommissioning organization would be structured to ensure that certain functions – radiological controls, health and safety, and quality assurance – are independent of the organizational elements performing the work.

The decommissioning would be accomplished in accordance with applicable DOE and NRC requirements, and in accordance with applicable requirements of other federal agencies and the State of New York. However, given DOE's authority under the WVDP Act and, and considering that the Department is not the NRC licensee for the site, certain aspects of the proposed decommissioning would be controlled in accordance with DOE procedures, i.e., DOE regulations, directives, and technical standards. These aspects are:

- Project management and organization,
- Radiological safety controls and monitoring of workers,

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

- Environmental monitoring and control, and
- Radioactive waste management.

DCGLs and Cleanup Goals

To support Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities and later decisions for Phase 2 of the decommissioning, derived concentration guideline levels (DCGLs) were developed for surface soil, subsurface soil, and streambed sediment using the RESRAD RESidual RADioactivity computer Code, Version 6.4. Table ES-1 provides the calculated DCGLs for 18 radionuclides of interest for surface soil, subsurface soil, and streambed sediment. These DCGLs assure that the dose to the average member of the critical group would be 25 millirem per year when considering the dose contribution from each radionuclide individually.⁴

Table ES-1. DCGL_w Values For 25 Millirem per Year (pCi/g)⁽¹⁾

Nuclide	Surface Soil	Subsurface Soil	Streambed Sediment
Am-241	5.4E+01	6.4E+03	1.6E+04
C-14	3.5E+01	4.3E+05	3.4E+03
Cm-243	4.7E+01	1.1E+03	3.6E+03
Cm-244	1.0E+02	2.0E+04	4.7E+04
Cs-137 ⁽²⁾	2.9E+01	4.4E+02	1.3E+03
I-129	6.5E-01	4.2E+02	3.7E+03
Np-237	1.1E-01	3.7E+01	5.4E+02
Pu-238	6.4E+01	1.2E+04	2.0E+04
Pu-239	5.8E+01	1.1E+04	1.8E+04
Pu-240	5.8E+01	1.1E+04	1.8E+04
Pu-241	1.8E+03	2.2E+05	5.2E+05
Sr-90 ⁽²⁾	9.7E+00	3.1E+03	9.5E+03
Tc-99	3.2E+01	1.1E+04	2.2E+06
U-232	6.3E+00	1.2E+02	2.7E+02
U-233	2.2E+01	1.7E+03	5.8E+04
U-234	2.3E+01	1.7E+03	6.1E+04
U-235	1.5E+01	9.5E+02	2.9E+03
U-238	2.4E+01	1.8E+03	1.3E+04

NOTES: (1) The DCGL_w is the DCGL applicable to the average concentration over a survey unit.

(2) DCGLs for Sr-90 and Cs-137 apply to the year 2041 and later.

Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities would involve removal of subsurface soil in the bottom and sides of the large excavation for removal of the Waste Management Area 1 facilities and the large excavation in Waste Management Area 2 for removal of Lagoon 1, Lagoon 2,

⁴ The DCGLs for Sr-90 and Cs-137 apply to the year 2041 and later, that is, they incorporate a 30-year decay period from 2011. The 30-year decay period was selected for these key radionuclides because of their short half-life. License termination actions that may take place in Phase 2 of the decommissioning would not likely be fully implemented before 2041.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

Lagoon 3, the interceptors, and the Neutralization Pit. Phase 1 proposed decommissioning activities may include remediation of surface soil and streambed sediment depending on best management practices and available funding.

The DCGLs in Table ES-1 were developed considering the separate areas of interest and the critical group for exposure to radioactivity in surface soil and subsurface soil is different from the critical group for exposure to radioactivity in streambed sediment. In consideration of this situation, and because only limited portions of the project premises would be remediated during Phase 1 of the proposed decommissioning, two assessments were performed that involved apportioning doses from different portions of the remediated project premises to ensure that DCGLs used for remediation in Phase 1 of the proposed decommissioning would not limit Phase 2 options.

Considering the results of these assessments, and the results of the ALARA analysis discussed below, DOE has established the following cleanup goals, which are lower than the DCGLs, to ensure that remediation accomplished during Phase 1 of the proposed decommissioning would support any approach that might be used during Phase 2 of the decommissioning.

Table ES-2. Cleanup Goals to be Used in Remediation in pCi/g⁽¹⁾

Nuclide	Surface Soil	Subsurface Soil	Streambed Sediment
Am-241	4.9E+01	2.9E+03	1.6E+03
C-14	3.1E+01	1.9E+05	3.4E+02
Cm-243	4.2E+01	5.1E+02	3.6E+02
Cm-244	9.4E+01	8.8E+03	4.7E+03
Cs-137 ⁽²⁾	2.7E+01	2.0E+02	1.3E+02
I-129	5.8E-01	1.9E+02	3.7E+02
Np-237	9.6E-02	1.7E+01	5.4E+01
Pu-238	5.8E+01	5.5E+03	2.0E+03
Pu-239	5.2E+01	5.0E+03	1.8E+03
Pu-240	5.2E+01	5.0E+03	1.8E+03
Pu-241	1.6E+03	9.8E+04	5.2E+04
Sr-90 ⁽²⁾	8.7E+00	1.4E+03	9.5E+02
Tc-99	2.9E+01	5.0E+03	2.2E+05
U-232	5.6E+00	5.3E+01	2.7E+01
U-233	2.0E+01	7.5E+02	5.8E+03
U-234	2.1E+01	7.7E+02	6.1E+03
U-235	1.4E+01	4.3E+02	2.9E+02
U-238	2.2E+01	8.2E+02	1.3E+03

NOTES: (1) These cleanup goals, which, like the DCGL_w values in Table ES-1, apply to the average concentration over a survey unit, are to be used as the criteria for the Phase 1 remediation activities.

(2) Cleanup goals for Sr-90 and Cs-137 apply to the year 2041 and later. That is, they incorporate a 30-year decay period from 2011. The 30-year decay period was selected for these key radionuclides because of their short half-life. License termination actions that may take place in Phase 2 of the decommissioning would not likely be fully implemented before 2041.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

Since these cleanup goals were developed for individual radionuclides of interest, a sum-of-fractions approach based on radionuclide distributions in different areas would be used to ensure that potential doses from the remediated areas would be no more than the dose from one of the individual radionuclides at the concentration specified in Table ES-2.

Although the subsurface soil cleanup goals in Table ES-2 form the criteria for residual radioactivity in the two large excavations, remediation plans involve excavation at least one foot into the Lavery till and, in Waste Management Area 2, at least one foot below the sediment in the bottoms of Lagoons 2 and 3. This approach is expected to produce residual radioactivity levels well below the cleanup goals, based on limited existing data on residual radioactive contamination in the Lavery till. A preliminary, order-of-magnitude dose analysis using these data suggests that potential future doses from these excavated areas would be approximately one millirem per year for Waste Management Area 1 and approximately 0.1 millirem per year for Waste Management Area 2.

After additional characterization data become available early in Phase 1 of the decommissioning, the DCGLs and the cleanup goals would be reevaluated using these data and refined as appropriate. After the Phase 1 decommissioning activities have been completed, another dose analysis using Phase 1 final status survey data would be performed to estimate the potential doses from the remediated subsurface areas.

Summary of ALARA Evaluations

DOE has performed a preliminary cost-benefit analysis using NRC methodology to determine whether removal of soil or sediment with radioactivity concentrations below the DCGLs would be consistent with the ALARA principal. These analyses compared the cost of disposal of additional soil or sediment with the reduction in radiation exposure associated with removal of additional soil or sediment below the DCGLs valued at \$2000 per person-rem as set forth in NRC guidance. They indicate that removal of soil or sediment with radioactivity concentrations below the DCGLs would not be cost-effective.

DOE would perform another similar analysis when the subsurface soil remediation work is in progress (and when surface soil and streambed sediment remediation is in progress, if that work is done in Phase 1) to confirm the results of the preliminary ALARA evaluation. This second, more-detailed analysis would use updated information and consider other factors such as other societal and socioeconomic considerations and costs related to transportation of additional waste.

Initiation and Completion Dates

Subject to the decision in the Record of Decision for the Decommissioning EIS, expected in 2009, and upon NRC approval of this plan, DOE would begin Phase 1 of the proposed decommissioning in 2011 and it would last until 2018.

Post-Remediation Activities

The proposed post remediation activities fall into two categories: (1) a monitoring and maintenance program and (2) an institutional control program, both of which focus on the project premises.

WVDP PHASE 1 DECOMMISSIONING PLAN

The monitoring and maintenance program would continue until Phase 2 of the decommissioning starts, when it would be reevaluated. It would include an environmental monitoring program tailored to conditions that would exist at the conclusion of the Phase 1 decommissioning activities. This program would monitor onsite groundwater, storm water, and air, along with onsite and offsite surface water, sediment, and radiation. Groundwater monitoring would be accomplished using approximately 36 monitoring wells.

The monitoring and maintenance program would also ensure that important facilities and systems serve their intended purposes during the period between the completion of Phase 1 of the decommissioning and the start of Phase 2. Facilities and systems within the scope of this program include:

- The subsurface hydraulic barrier wall and French drain to be installed during Phase 1 on the north and east sides of the excavation for removal of the Waste Management Area 1 facilities,
- The subsurface hydraulic barrier wall to be installed during Phase 1 on the northwest and northeast sides of the excavation for removal of key Waste Management Area 2 facilities,
- The tank and vault drying system for the underground waste tanks that is to be installed before Phase 1 of the decommissioning,
- The dewatering well used to minimize in-leakage into the underground waste tank vaults,
- The hydraulic barrier wall and geomembrane cover for the NRC-Licensed Disposal Area, and
- The security features and monitoring systems installed for the new Canister Interim Storage Facility to be established on the south plateau.

Performance of the hydraulic barrier walls would be assessed with hydraulic monitoring piezometers.

Insofar as institutional controls are concerned, DOE would continue control of the project premises during the Phase 1 decommissioning activities and the period between completion of these activities and the start of Phase 2 of the decommissioning. Institutional controls would include security fences and signs along the perimeter of the project premises, a full-time security force, provisions for controlled access through designated gateways, and appropriate security measures for the new Canister Interim Storage Facility on the south plateau, which would be established during Phase 1 of the decommissioning.